General anaesthesia using low fresh gas flows has been widely discussed with respect to the saving potential for anaesthetic gases and oxygen, enticing us with significant economic and ecologic benefits. Taking a broader perspective reveals that low- and minimal-flow anaesthesia is also capable of offering clinically relevant benefits in a way that has been out of focus in the recent discussions on intraoperative protective ventilation.
Clinical Benefits of low- and minimal-flow anaesthesia

General anaesthesia using low fresh gas flows has been widely discussed with respect to the saving potential for anaesthetic gases and oxygen, enticing us with significant economic and ecological benefits. Taking a broader perspective reveals that low- and minimal-flow anaesthesia is also capable of offering clinically relevant benefits in a way that has been out in focus of the recent discussions on intraoperative protective ventilation.

There has been a lot of research and discussion around intraoperative protective ventilation (PV) in the past years – for a good reason. Various studies demonstrated, that protective ventilation approaches allow the reduction in incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications (PPC). With an incidence of approx. 5 %, PPC are common complications in a broad, heterogeneous patient group. One out of five patients who develops a PPC dies within the first 30 days postoperatively. Being in line with other research, these results of a population-based cohort study suggest a substantial impact on morbidity and mortality. Independent risk factors for PPC include low preoperative SpO₂, recent acute respiratory infections, high age (> 75 years), anaemia, pre-existing pulmonary disease and obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m²).

However, the above mentioned discussion on intraoperative protective ventilation has very much focused on parameters of mechanical ventilation, such as tidal volume, ventilation pressures, PEEP and recruitment maneuvers. Other potentially influencing factors seem to be neglected in this discussion although they are known to be important from the intensive care unit (ICU) environment. One of these factors is the humidification and warming of respiratory gas. On the ICU it is undisputed that respiratory gas conditioning is important to protect the lungs and is a universal standard of care. But this aspect does not play a role in the discussion on intraoperative protective ventilation although low- and minimal-flow techniques offer an effective yet easy way to humidify respiratory gas.

In this paper, we will explore the potentially harmful effects of ventilation with unconditioned (cold and dry) gas. Furthermore we will elaborate on the capabilities of low- and minimal-flow anaesthesia in humidifying and warming ventilation gas mitigating the potentially negative effects of ventilation with cold and dry gas.

Temperature and humidity of the inspired gas – forgotten components of protective ventilation?

For optimal gas exchange, the lungs require the inspired gas to be at a temperature of 37 °C and approx. 44 mg H₂O/l of absolute humidity (100 % relative humidity). Under normal conditions the respiratory tract warms the air during passage and the conditions described above are already met 5 cm below the carina. The upper respiratory tract has a greater effect on humidification and temperature compared to the lower respiratory tract as the respiratory epithelium changes towards the terminal bronchioles successively decreasing the capability to humidify and warm the inspired gas.

During anaesthesia, however, the upper respiratory tract is bypassed by endotracheal intubation or the placement of a laryngeal mask, leaving the lower respiratory tract potentially overcharged with the task of adequately acclimatizing the cold and dry gas applied during high flow anaesthesia. Mechanical ventilation with cold and dry gas can thus lead to damage of respiratory epithelium and the lung parenchyma and influence the pulmonary function.

Mucociliary clearance, body core temperature and potential tissue damage

It is known that the ciliary activity (mucociliary clearance) - one of the important defence mechanisms of the lung - can be impaired by anaesthesia. Direct damage of the respiratory epithelium and reduced mucous transport can ultimately lead to complications such as infections and atelectasis (due to mucous retention). Studies suggest that structural and functional damages of the respiratory...
epithelium can occur when ventilating patients with cold and dry gas – even in a fairly short period of perioperative ventilation. In one study, 39 \% of damaged ciliated cells have been observed after 3 hours of ventilation with cold and dry gas. It has been stated, that this adverse effect can be reduced by adequately conditioned respiratory gas.\textsuperscript{12,14}

Another aspect to look at is the homeostasis of body core temperature and fluid balance. The respiratory tract acts like a huge heat and moisture exchanger, cold and dry gas entering the respiratory tract will absorb this heat and moisture. It is concluded that heat and fluid loss could be substantial and conditioned gas (warm and moist) would be more efficient in maintaining the body temperature and limiting the loss of humidity.\textsuperscript{7,11} This may be even more important in children as they have a larger ratio of minute volume to body surface area. A study demonstrated a loss of 0.75 °C of body core temperature in children after 90 minutes from induction of anaesthesia using non-acclimatised gas.\textsuperscript{13}

In addition to the direct effect on mucociliary clearance and body core temperature, cold and dry respiratory gas is also considered responsible for the increased release of inflammation mediators\textsuperscript{15}. It has been shown in animal models that the use of pre-warmed and humidified breathing gas reduced the release of inflammatory mediators TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-6 and IL-8, suggesting a reduction of the harming effects of inflammation.\textsuperscript{11,16-18} Although studies on the clinical relevance for the human being are still pending, this factor may become relevant when discussing the theory of a “second hit” impact on patients with unfavourable conditions.\textsuperscript{19}

Respiratory air conditioning and low-flow anaesthesia
An absolute humidity of 30 - 35 mg H\(_2\)O/l is described as a target value for prolonged mechanical ventilation and a minimum of 15 - 20 mg H\(_2\)O/l to mitigate the risk of the negative effects that perioperative ventilation with unconditioned gas has on the airways.\textsuperscript{6,7,11,12,14} In clinical studies on low- and minimal-flow techniques, values from 20 mg H\(_2\)O/l up to 30 mg H\(_2\)O/l and 32° C could be well achieved.\textsuperscript{7,9,11,12,21} A recent trial by De Oliveira et al. demonstrated that the aforementioned minimum conditions were achieved running a fresh gas flow of 1 l/min without any additional means of warming or humidification\textsuperscript{16}. In their booklet on low- and minimal-flow anaesthesia, Höinemann and Mierke state similarly positive findings from their measurements.\textsuperscript{21}

For optimal use of conditioned gases in shorter procedures, adequate temperature and humidity must be reached as early as possible. Research has demonstrated, that 10 minutes after tracheal intubation the humidity profile of the low-flow technique starts to differ positively from the values associated with high-flow anaesthesia. After one hour the difference potentiates in favour of low-flow. Some authors therefore recommend the use of low- and minimal-flow technique even for interventions of 15 - 30 minutes and suggest to switch to low or minimal fresh gas flows right from the start.\textsuperscript{21}

Breathing gas temperature in °C measured at the Y-piece in the inspiratory arm of the Dräger Primus anaesthesia machine over the course of anaesthesia

Absolute humidity in g/m\(^3\) measured at the Y-piece in the inspiratory arm of the Dräger Primus anaesthesia machine over the course of anaesthesia
Practical use of low- and minimal-flow

The use of low-flow (FGF 1L/min) and minimal-flow techniques (FGF 0.5 L/min) is considered a safe procedure.9 But it also places demands on the technology deployed. Among the technical requirements are:

• Leak tightness of the breathing system: The less components and connections, the better the system is suitable for low flow
• Returning the exhaust sample gas from gas measurement back into the breathing system (rebreathing system)
• Robustness and precision of O₂, CO₂ and anaesthesia gas measurement in a humid environment
• Performance of the anaesthetic vaporisers

A complete list of technical requirements for the safe application of low- and minimal-flow technology can be found in our paper "Technology Insights" (Link).

There is an additional aspect for the anaesthetist to consider: Anaesthetic systems running at low or minimal fresh gas flows react more slowly to changes in oxygen or anaesthetic gas concentration. This is not difficult to handle, but needs to be understood and anticipated for safe operation. The booklet "Low-flow, minimal-flow and metabolic-flow anaesthesia. Clinical techniques for use with rebreathing systems" by C. Hönemann and B. Mierke provides background information and best-practice examples on the safe use of the low- and minimal-flow technique (Link). In addition to that, modern anaesthetic machines can provide additional software tools to help make low- and minimal-flow anaesthesia even safer (see our Technology Insights paper (Link)). Also, smart software systems based on physiologic and technical models predicting inspired concentrations of oxygen and inhaled anaesthetic agents can help bring additional safety to the process.

DEFINITION OF LOW-LOW AND MINIMAL-FLOW

Low-flow anaesthesia is considered to be achieved at a fresh gas flow of 1 L/min, any higher fresh gas flow settings are considered high-flow. A general anaesthetic with fresh gas flows of approx. 0.5L/min is called minimal-flow anaesthesia. The lower the fresh gas flow, the lower is the quantity of cold and dry gas from the central gas supply or gas cylinders streaming into the system, therefore more of the gas circulates in the breathing system and less is exhausted through the gas scavenging system. This keeps the warmth and the humidity from the patient’s lungs and the chemical reaction of the soda lime with the expired CO₂ within the system. For safe operation of anaesthesia with low fresh gas flows, adequate equipment is required that allows for robust and precise control of flows, gas concentration and minimal leakages, as well as precise continuous anaesthetic gas monitoring.

DISCOVER MORE ON OUR WEBSITE: WWW.DRAEGER.COM/PROTECTIVE-VENTILATION

Discover more on our website www.draeger.com/protective-ventilation
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